IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

RICHARD NORLAND,

No. CV 05-6312-ST

Plaintiff,

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATION

v.

STATE OF OREGON, et. al.,

Defendants.

MOSMAN, J.,

On November 28, 2006, Magistrate Judge Stewart issued Findings and Recommendation ("F&R") (#30) in the above-captioned case recommending Deputy Rose's Motion to Dismiss (#17) be granted, and Mr. Norland be allowed 30 days from the entry of this order to file a Second Amended Complaint including the specific allegations against Deputy Rose. No objections were filed.

In conducting my review of the F&R, I apply the following standard. The magistrate judge makes only recommendations to the court, to which any party may file written objections. The court is not bound by the recommendations of the magistrate judge, but retains responsibility for making the final determination. The court is required to make a *de novo* determination of

PAGE 1 - ORDER

Case 3:05-cv-06312-ST Document 32 Filed 12/21/06 Page 2 of 2

those portions of the report or specified findings or recommendation as to which an objection is

made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). However, the court is not required to review, under a de novo

or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions

of the F&R to which no objections are addressed. See Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985);

United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003). While the level of scrutiny

under which I am required to review the F&R depends on whether or not objections have been

filed, in either case, the court is free to accept, reject, or modify any of the magistrate judge's

F&R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

After reviewing the F&R and relevant materials, the F&R is ADOPTED without

modification. Accordingly, Deputy Rose's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED, and Mr. Norland is

allowed 30 days from the entry of this order to file a Second Amended Complaint including the

specific allegations against Deputy Rose.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 20th day of December, 2006.

/s/ Michael W. Mosman

MICHAEL W. MOSMAN

United States District Court